


Brain Circuit of Claustrophobia-like Behavior in Mice Identified by Upstream Tracing of Sighing

Graphical Abstract



Authors

Peng Li, Shi-Bin Li, Xuenan Wang, ..., Liqun Luo, Luis de Lecea, Mark A. Krasnow

Correspondence

penglium@umich.edu (P.L.), krasnow@stanford.edu (M.A.K.)

In Brief

Li et al. define an emotion neural circuit. Circuit tracing in mice identified 12 forebrain centers that converge on the 200 neuromedin-B-expressing brainstem sigh control neurons. One center, composed of hypothalamic hypocretin-expressing neurons, is activated by confinement in tight quarters and directly drives sighing and other claustrophobia-like behaviors.

Highlights

- Confinement/claustrophobic stress in mice induces sighing and other emotional outputs
- Emotional sighs use the same brainstem neuromedin B (Nmb) neurons as physiological sighs
- Retrograde tracing shows that hypothalamic hypocretin neurons directly control Nmb neurons
- Confinement activates Hcrt neurons, driving sighing and other claustrophobic outputs



Article

Brain Circuit of Claustrophobia-like Behavior in Mice Identified by Upstream Tracing of Sighing

Peng Li,^{1,2,*} Shi-Bin Li,³ Xuenan Wang,² Chrystian D. Phillips,² Lindsay A. Schwarz,^{4,5} Liqun Luo,⁴ Luis de Lecea,³ and Mark A. Krasnow^{1,6,*}

¹Department of Biochemistry, Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA

²Life Sciences Institute, Departments of Biologic and Materials Sciences and of Molecular and Integrative Physiology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA

³Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA

⁴Department of Biology, Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA

⁵Present address: Department of Developmental Neurobiology, St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, TN 38105, USA

⁶Lead Contact

*Correspondence: penglium@umich.edu (P.L.), krasnow@stanford.edu (M.A.K.)

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2020.107779>

SUMMARY

Emotions are distinct patterns of behavioral and physiological responses triggered by stimuli that induce different brain states. Elucidating the circuits is difficult because of challenges in interrogating emotional brain states and their complex outputs. Here, we leverage the recent discovery in mice of a neural circuit for sighing, a simple, quantifiable output of various emotions. We show that mouse confinement triggers sighing, and this “claustrophobic” sighing, but not accompanying tachypnea, requires the same medullary neuromedin B (Nmb)-expressing neurons as physiological sighing. Retrograde tracing from the Nmb neurons identified 12 forebrain centers providing presynaptic input, including hypocretin (Hcrt)-expressing lateral hypothalamic neurons. Confinement activates Hcrt neurons, and optogenetic activation induces sighing and tachypnea whereas pharmacologic inhibition suppresses both responses. The effect on sighing is mediated by HCRT directly on Nmb neurons. We propose that this HCRT-NMB neuropeptide relay circuit mediates claustrophobic sighing and that activated Hcrt neurons are a claustrophobia brain state that directly controls claustrophobic outputs.

INTRODUCTION

Although emotions affect almost every aspect of our daily lives and have fascinated scientists for millennia (Darwin, 1874; Knuutila, 2004), they have proven difficult to dissect and hard even to define. A prevailing view is that an emotion is the behavioral and internal manifestations of a central brain state that is subjectively experienced as a “feeling” (Anderson and Adolphs, 2014). Although the sensory triggers of an emotion, as well as its behavioral outputs—such as laughing, crying, and sighing—are often readily recognized, the central brain states and other internal manifestations of emotions have been more elusive. And, because the subjective experiences some consider essential for an emotion can only be interrogated in cognizant humans, research has historically focused on human subjects. Hence, there have been great experimental challenges in defining the underlying neuronal circuits, and even the general organization of the circuits is still debated, such as whether the subjective feeling underlying an emotion is a cause (upstream) or consequence (downstream) of the external manifestations (James, 1884; Lange, 1885; Cannon, 1927; Schachter and Singer, 1962; Panksepp, 1998; LeDoux, 2012; Anderson and Adolphs, 2014).

Animals have long been thought to experience states that are similar to human emotional states, which Darwin proposed are

homologous (Darwin, 1874). Although it has not been possible to interrogate feelings associated with animal states, genetically tractable model organisms are now being used to explore the neural circuits underlying certain emotion-like states (“emotion primitives”) (Anderson and Adolphs, 2014). In this paper, we elucidate the brain organization and circuitry of a claustrophobic-like state in mice by tracing upstream from brainstem neurons that control one of its specific behavioral outputs—sighing.

Sighing is a stereotyped augmented breath pattern that is induced by dozens of human emotional states, including stress, sadness, helplessness, relief, and pleasure (Ramirez, 2014; Li and Yackle, 2017). It also occurs spontaneously in all mammals, typically every few minutes, reinflating collapsed alveoli and restoring maximal gas-exchange efficiency of the lung (Knowlton and Larrabee, 1946; McCutcheon, 1953; Reynolds, 1962). Recently, we identified a peptidergic circuit in the mouse brainstem (medulla) that controls these spontaneous (“basal”) sighs as well as “physiological” sighs triggered by hypoxia (Li et al., 2016). This core circuit for sighing comprises ~200 neurons that express the bombesin-like neuropeptide neuromedin B (NMB) and gastrin releasing peptide (GRP) in the retrotrapezoid nucleus/parafacial respiratory group (RTN/pFRG), which project to and activate ~200 neurons expressing their cognate



receptors *Nmb* and *Grpr* in the respiratory rhythm generator the preBöttinger Complex (the preBötC), transforming regular (“eupneic”) breaths into sighs (Li et al., 2016).

Here, we report that sighing is induced when mice are briefly placed in tight quarters, a standard mouse paradigm for non-invasively inducing innate stress responses. We show that this “claustrophobic” sighing, but not the accompanying tachypnea (rapid breathing), requires the same brainstem neurons as basal and physiological sighing. We use monosynaptic retrograde tracing to map forebrain centers that provide direct input to the *Nmb*-expressing neurons and molecularly identify neurons in one of the mapped centers (the lateral hypothalamic area [LHA]) that are activated by confinement and that project to and directly control the *Nmb*-expressing neurons through a second peptidergic pathway. We propose that these LHA neurons represent a key node in the central emotional state of mouse claustrophobia and that they directly control sighing and separately control tachypnea, movement, and presumably other external and internal manifestations of the claustrophobic state. The results suggest a modular organization of neural circuits of emotion that allows facile evolutionary diversification of emotions.

RESULTS

Confinement Triggers Sighing and Tachypnea in Mice

To explore the possibility of using mice as a model for emotional sighing, we investigated breathing responses in a number of mouse behavioral paradigms. Confinement in tight quarters (or “acute restraint”) is a common paradigm for inducing innate stress responses in rodents (Zimprich et al., 2014). We found that when a mouse was placed in a tight-fitting 50-mL (27-mm diameter) conical tube, its sigh rate immediately increased 2.8 ± 0.3 -fold ($n = 6$; Figures 1A and 1B). This effect on sighing persisted throughout the confinement and then rapidly returned to base line following release from the tube (Figure 1A). Respiratory rate also increased during confinement (Figure 1C), although the effect on sighing was greater (Figure 1D). Increased sighing and respiratory rate were also observed when mice were placed in a slightly larger (33-mm diameter) metal mesh tube to ensure adequate ventilation (Figures S1A to S1C). In addition, we found that anesthetizing mice eliminated the effect of tube confinement on sighing, although it left intact the effect of physiological challenge with hypoxia (Figure S1D), consistent with the effect of tube confinement on sighing resulting from stress rather than from a purely physiological (hypoxic) response.

Tube confinement also induced intermittent bouts of movement throughout the confinement period (Figure S1E). Interestingly, most ($80\% \pm 14\%$) sighs occurred in conjunction with these bouts of movement (Figure S1E). To determine if these movements induce sighing (e.g., through increased oxygen demand) or if the movements were another, independent manifestation of confinement stress (e.g., “struggling” to escape), we carried out a similar experiment in which mice were pre-treated with the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor antagonist tubocurarine (“curare”) to block transmission at the neuromuscular junction. This prevented almost all bouts of movement during confinement, but it did

not alter the effect of confinement on sighing (Figures S1E and S1F).

These results suggest that the observed respiratory responses to tube confinement are not due simply to the physical constriction of breathing, impaired ventilation, or induced movements, but rather to the stress associated with confinement (see also below). Thus, confinement stress induces sighing, at least one other respiratory response (tachypnea), and bouts of movement (struggling), and these appear to be part of an innate behavioral response because none of the responses required prior training.

The *Nmb*-Expressing Neurons in the RTN Mediate Confinement-Induced Sighing

Our previous work showed that basal and hypoxia-induced sighing are mediated by partially overlapping bombesin-like neuropeptide pathways in the medulla, in which the *Nmb*-expressing and *Grp*-expressing neurons in the RTN project to and control the receptor-expressing neurons in the preBöttinger Complex breathing pacemaker (the preBötC) (Li et al., 2016). To determine if confinement-induced sighing is mediated by the same peptidergic circuit, we first generated a bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) transgenic *Nmb*-CreER mouse, with CreERT2 inserted at the start codon of the *Nmb* locus (Figure 1E) to allow genetic manipulation of the *Nmb*-expressing neurons (Figures 1F and 1G; see STAR Methods).

We then used the *Nmb*-CreER mice to specifically silence the *Nmb*-expressing neurons during the confinement paradigm by stereotactically injecting into the RTN the adeno-associated viral (AAV) chemogenetic vector AAV-DIO-hM4Di (Krashes et al., 2011; Figures 1F and 1G). Following Cre-mediated recombination, the hM4Di receptor is expressed in the *Nmb*-expressing neurons, which in the presence of clozapine-*n*-oxide (CNO) hyperpolarizes and silences the neurons. In transgenic mice under free-range control conditions, silencing of the *Nmb*-expressing neurons by systemic administration of CNO (1 mg/kg body weight) diminished basal sighing (Figure S2A), confirming the role of these neurons in physiological sighing established previously by pharmacological manipulation of the NMB pathway (Li et al., 2016; see also below). CNO administration also diminished the sighing induced when mice were placed in the conical tube (Figure 1H). Thus, the *Nmb*-expressing RTN neurons also play an important role in confinement-induced sighing. The effects on sighing were specific, as there was no effect of CNO-induced *Nmb* neuron silencing on basal respiratory rate or the tachypnea induced by confinement (Figures S2B and S2E; see below).

Twelve Forebrain Regions Provide Direct Input to the *Nmb*-Expressing Sigh Control Neurons

To identify neuronal inputs to the *Nmb*-expressing neurons that could directly activate these neurons and the downstream sigh control circuit during stress, we used rabies virus retrograde monosynaptic circuit tracing (Wickersham et al., 2007; Schwarz et al., 2015). The helper genes TVA receptor and rabies virus envelope glycoprotein were first expressed in *Nmb*-expressing neurons in a Cre-dependent manner by stereotactic injection of AAV-FLEX^{loxP}-TVA-mCherry and AAV-FLEX^{loxP}-G into the RTN of *Nmb*-CreER mice (Figures 2A and 2B). This enables the




Figure 1. Tube Confinement Induces Sighing through *Nmb* Neurons in RTN

(A) Sigh rate (bin 4 min, slide 1 min) determined by plethysmography of wild-type C57BL/6 mice ($n = 6$) before, during, and after placement in a 50-mL conical tube. Grey, confinement period.

(B) Quantification of sigh rate before, during, and after tube confinement (mean \pm SEM). * $p < 0.05$ (ANOVA followed by post hoc t test).

(C and D) Quantification of respiratory rate (C) and sigh-to-eupneic-breath ratio (D) before and during tube confinement (mean \pm SEM). * $p < 0.05$ (paired t test).

(E) Map of *Nmb*-CreER mouse BAC transgene used for marking and manipulating *Nmb*-expressing sigh control neurons in medulla retrotrapezoid nucleus/parafacial respiratory group (RTN/pFRG, hereafter referred to as RTN). The three exons of the *Nmb* locus are numbered (black fill, coding region). WPRE, Woodchuck Hepatitis Virus (WHP) Posttranscriptional Response Element; pA, polyadenylation signal.

(F) Scheme for chemogenetic silencing of *Nmb*-positive RTN neurons. RTN of *Nmb*-CreER adult mouse is infected with recombinant AAV-DIO-hM4Di-mCherry virus. Expression of CNO-dependent neuronal silencer hM4Di and mCherry is induced by tamoxifen (Tam), and then hM4Di is activated by CNO. CAG, cytomegalovirus early enhancer/chicken beta actin promoter; triangles, Cre recombination sites.

(G) Expression of mCherry (red) in *Nmb*-CreER-expressing neurons in RTN (dashed outline) at day 20 of scheme in (F). DAPI (nuclear marker), blue. Inset, close up of boxed region showing two labeled *Nmb*-expressing neurons (arrowheads) and schematic diagram of one of them. Scale bar, 100 μ m (50 μ m, inset).

(H) Sigh rate during tube confinement in mice with (+, $n = 6$) and without (–, $n = 5$) hM4Di expression in *Nmb* neurons (*Nmb*-hM4Di) treated with (+) or without (–, vehicle only) CNO injection, as shown in (F). Note the residual sighing during confinement could be due to incomplete silencing of *Nmb* neurons or to Grp neurons in RTN, which have similar function. * $p < 0.05$; n.s., not significant.

specific infection and monosynaptic spread of an EnvA-pseudotyped, glycoprotein-deleted, and GFP-expressing rabies virus (RVdG) from *Nmb*-expressing neurons. By serial sectioning, we identified 12 forebrain regions with GFP-labeled cells, indicating direct presynaptic input to the *Nmb*-expressing neurons (Figures 2B and 2C).

The LHA Is Activated by Confinement and Is Sufficient to Induce Sighing

Among the brain regions identified as presynaptic to *Nmb*-expressing neurons, we focused on LHA (Figure 2D), a heterogeneous brain region that has been implicated in stress as well as arousal, feeding, and motivation (Bonnaïon et al., 2016). The LHA is also known to modulate autonomic functions, including breathing (Burdakov et al., 2013). We first examined whether LHA neurons are activated in the confinement paradigm that induces sighing, by immunostaining for the immediate early gene product *c-Fos* that is expressed in many neurons

following activation. Under control conditions, only a few LHA neurons expressed *c-Fos*. However, 90 min after mouse placement in a conical tube, there was a 4-fold increase in the number of *c-Fos*-positive neurons scattered across the LHA, implying that these neurons are activated by confinement stress (Figures S3A and S3B).

To test whether activation of LHA neurons is sufficient to induce sighing, we first injected the GABA receptor antagonist bicuculline into the LHA of anaesthetized wild-type mice to disinhibit LHA neurons. This increased sighing 4- to 6-fold in the first 10 min (Figures 3A and 3C), and the effect persisted for 20–30 min (Figure 3A). Induced sighs displayed the classical waveform of sighs (Bendixen et al., 1964; Li et al., 2016): an initial inspiratory peak indistinguishable from a eupneic breath immediately followed by a second inspiratory peak (Figure 3B).

We also tested the effect of optogenetic activation of LHA neurons on breathing. AAV-hSyn-ChR2 was injected into the LHA of a wild-type mouse, and after 3–4 weeks to allow viral




Figure 2. Mapping the Direct Forebrain Inputs to RTN Nmb Neurons by Monosynaptic Retrograde Tracing

(A) Scheme for rabies virus monosynaptic retrograde tracing of inputs to Nmb neurons. Two Cre-dependent helper AAVs (CAG-FLEX^{loxP}-rabies glycoprotein, top; and CAG-FLEX^{loxP}-TVA:mCherry, bottom) were injected into RTN (see B) of Nmb-CreER mouse, followed by intraperitoneal Tam injections to express in Nmb-CreER-expressing neurons the rabies glycoprotein (G) and the TVA receptor for EnvA fused to mCherry. After injection of EnvA-pseudotyped, glycoprotein deleted, and GFP-expressing rabies virus (RvDg) to the same region (RTN), direct inputs to Nmb neurons are labeled. Open and closed triangles, Cre recombination sites.

(B and C) Quantification of forebrain regions labeled by monosynaptic retrograde tracing in (A) that provide direct input to RTN Nmb neurons. Locations of labeled regions are density coded in (B) and graphed in (C) to show the relative abundance of labeling. DMH, dorsomedial hypothalamic nucleus; PVH, paraventricular hypothalamic nucleus; VPC, ventral posterior complex. Mean \pm SEM, $n = 3$.

(D) Coronal section (30 μ m) of mouse brain showing two rabies-labeled GFP-positive neurons in LHA region and a schematic of their projection (green) from LHA to RTN. preBötC, preBötzingler Complex. Scale bar, 100 μ m.

infection and expression of the channelrhodopsin ChR2, the LHA neurons were activated by a blue light laser. Upon 5-Hz or 10-Hz (but not 1 Hz) laser activation, the sigh rate acutely increased 4- to 10-fold (Figures 3E, 3F, and S3D–S3F), similar to the effect of LHA disinhibition (Figure 3C). In both the LHA disinhibition and optogenetic activation experiments, respiratory rate also increased following LHA activation (Figures 3D and S3G–S3I), just as in the behavioral paradigm (Figure 1C).

We conclude that LHA neurons are activated by confinement, and activation of LHA neurons is sufficient to induce at least two external manifestations of confinement stress: increased sighing and tachypnea.

Hypocretin-Expressing LHA Neurons Mediate the Effects on Sighing

Because the LHA is a heterogeneous neuronal population, we next investigated what neuronal type in the LHA mediates confinement-induced sighing. Retrograde AAV-hSyn-EGFP injected into the RTN labeled both glutamatergic excitatory neurons and GABAergic inhibitory neurons in the LHA (65% *Slc17a6* (Vglut2) positive, 29% *Slc32a1* (Vgat) positive, 1.4% double positive, and 4.3% double negative, $n = 138$ scored neurons). Both populations are also activated by the tube confinement paradigm, as shown by neurons positive for *c-Fos*+ (61% *Slc17a6* (Vglut2) positive, 29% *Slc32a1* (Vgat) positive, 0.5% double positive, and 11% double negative, $n = 1,431$ scored neurons). To activate different subsets of LHA neurons, optogenetic activation was performed on various transgenic Cre-expressing mice 2–3 weeks after a Cre-dependent

channelrhodopsin AAV vector (AAV-DIO-ChR2) was stereotactically injected into the LHA. Laser activation of LHA glutamatergic excitatory neurons in Vglut2-IRES (internal ribosome entry site)-Cre mice was sufficient to induce sighing, mimicking the effect of activation of all LHA neurons (Figures 4A and S4A–S4I). Conversely, activation of LHA GABAergic inhibitory neurons in Vgat-IRES-Cre mice decreased sighing but not respiratory rate (Figures 4B and S4J–S4R). These results suggest that Vglut2+ excitatory neurons in the LHA mediate the induction of sighing.

Hypocretin (*hcr*), also known as orexin, encodes a neuropeptide precursor expressed in only a small subset of neurons in the brain, which are all located in the LHA (de Lecea et al., 1998; Sakurai et al., 1998). These Vglut2+ neurons play roles in arousal, appetite, sleep, and stress (Giardino and de Lecea, 2014; Sakurai, 2014). To determine if these neurons also mediate the induction of sighing by confinement, we first used *c-Fos* immunostaining to test whether they were activated by confinement stress. We found that under the tube confinement paradigm the fraction of *c-Fos*+ Hcr neurons increased 5-fold to nearly 30% of all Hcr LHA neurons (Figures 4D and 4E) and that the fraction of *c-Fos*+ Hcr neurons is more than half (56%) of *c-Fos*+ LHA excitatory neurons ($n = 1,147$ *c-Fos* and Vglut2 double-labeled neurons). Live activity recording of GCaMP6f-expressing Hcr neurons by fiber photometry also demonstrated increased activity of these neurons during tube confinement (Figures 4F and 4G).

We then optogenetically activated the Hcr-expressing neurons by injecting AAV-DIO-ChR2 into the LHA of a Hcr-IRES-Cre knockin mouse line. Photoactivation at 5 or 10 Hz (but not




Figure 3. Activation of LHA Neurons Induces Sighing

(A) Respiratory trace (~15 min) showing respiratory activity of an anesthetized wild-type C57BL/6 mouse before and after stereotactic bicuculline injection to disinhibit LHA. Note standard (eupneic) breaths are normally punctuated every few minutes by a double-size sigh, which increase in frequency after bicuculline injection. Also note that the tidal volume is increased after disinhibition. Because tidal volume is harder to quantify reliably for the confinement experiment in behaving animals by plethysmography, no further characterization of tidal volume was performed. Scale bar, 1 min.

(B) Expanded trace segments showing similarity of sigh waveform before (spontaneous sigh) and after (induced sigh) bicuculline injection. Scale bar, 0.5 s. (C and D) Quantification showing sigh rate (C) and respiratory rate (D) of wild-type mice ($n = 6$, mean \pm SEM) before (pre) and after (post) bicuculline injection. * $p < 0.05$ (paired t test).

(E) Plethysmography trace of anesthetized wild-type mouse that was stereotactically injected in LHA two weeks earlier with the diagrammed optogenetic AAV to express the channelrhodopsin ChR2, and then photoactivated for the interval indicated with fiberoptic laser at 5 Hz.

(F) Quantification of the sigh rate of wild-type mice with photoactivation of LHA as in (E) (mean \pm SEM, $n = 6$; * $p < 0.05$, paired t test).

1 Hz) increased sighing 3- to 10-fold (Figures 4C and S5B–S5D), similar to the response to confinement stress and to activation of all LHA neurons or just the excitatory neurons described above. Thus, Hcrt-expressing neurons in the LHA are activated by confinement, and their optogenetic activation is sufficient to induce sighing.

Hypocretin-Expressing LHA Neurons Control Sighing Directly through Nmb-Expressing RTN Neurons

The monosynaptic retrograde tracing experiments described above provided evidence for neuronal projections from LHA directly to Nmb-expressing neurons in the RTN. To validate the connection from LHA to RTN, we injected fluorescent retrobeads into the RTN and 5 days later found evidence of bead uptake and retrograde transport into LHA neurons (Figure S6A), at least some of which express *Hcrt*, as shown by HCRT immunostaining (Figure 5A). A total of 29% of retrogradely labeled LHA excitatory neurons ($n = 80$ *Vglut2* and *Egfp* double-labeled neurons) by AAVretro-hSyn-EGFP injected in the RTN are Hcrt positive. Conversely, stereotactic injection of a yellow fluorescent protein (YFP)-expressing AAV vector (AAV-hSyn1-eYFP) into the LHA showed that YFP-labeled LHA neuronal processes project to the RTN (Figure S6B). Double staining for HCRT and NMB confirmed that Hcrt-expressing neurons project to the RTN and demonstrated that HCRT-positive termini directly abut cell bodies of Nmb-expressing neurons (Figure 5B). Multiplex single-molecule fluorescent *in situ* hybridization showed that the two hypocretin receptor genes *Hcrtr1* and *Hcrtr2* are selectively expressed in the RTN in Nmb-expressing neurons, with nearly all Nmb-expressing neurons expressing one or both receptors

(54% *Hcrtr1 Hcrtr2* double positive, 15% *Hcrtr1* alone, 18% *Hcrtr2* alone [$n = 39$]; versus 1.1% double positive, 0.2% *Hcrtr1* alone, and 1.4% *Hcrtr2* alone in Nmb-negative RTN neurons [$n = 914$]) (Figure 5C). We conclude that Hcrt-expressing neurons in the LHA directly project to the RTN, that they closely approach and may contact Nmb-expressing neurons, and that there is direct peptidergic signaling potential between these two sets of neurons mediated by HCRT through its receptors HCRT1 and HCRT2.

To explore the functional significance of the identified neurons and peptidergic circuit, we first tested the effect of the selective HCRT receptor antagonist almorexant (ALM) in the emotional sighing assay. Breathing was monitored in mice placed in the conical tube, following oral administration of either ALM (100 mg/kg) or vehicle control. ALM substantially reduced confinement sighing (Figures 5E and 5F), and a similar effect of systemic ALM was observed on sighing induced by LHA disinhibition in anaesthetized mice (Figure 5H). To specifically interrogate the HCRT signal to Nmb-expressing neurons in the RTN, ALM was stereotactically injected into the RTN of anaesthetized Hcrt-IRES-Cre mice that had AAV-DIO-ChR2 injected into the LHA 3 weeks earlier to express the channelrhodopsin ChR2. The local administration of ALM into the RTN diminished sighing induced by optogenetic activation of Hcrt-expressing neurons expressing ChR2 (Figure 5I), similar to the effect of systemic ALM following LHA disinhibition (Figure 5H). Thus, HCRT signaling mediates the sighing response induced by confinement, by disinhibition of the LHA, or by direct optogenetic activation of Hcrt-expressing neurons, and the effect is mediated by HCRT action in the RTN.




Figure 4. Hypocretin-Expressing LHA Neurons Are Activated by Confinement and Induce Sighing

(A–C), Sigh rate of anesthetized adult Vglut2-IRES-Cre (A), Vgat-IRES-Cre (B), and Hcrt-IRES-Cre (C) knockin mice (mean \pm SEM, $n = 6$ mice per condition) stereotactically injected with AAV-DIO-ChR2 into LHA, then photoactivated 2 weeks later with a blue laser for 4 min at 5 Hz (A and C) or 10 Hz (B) to optogenetically activate the indicated LHA neurons, and analyzed by spirometer.

(D) LHA brain sections of adult wild-type mice immunostained for HCRT1 (green) and neural activity marker c-Fos (red) 1.5 h after mock handling (top) or tube confinement (bottom) for 15 min. Arrowheads, HCRT1/c-Fos double-positive neurons. Inset, close up of boxed region showing a double-positive neuron. Scale bar, 50 μ m (inset, 10 μ m).

(E) Quantification of (D) showing percentage of HCRT1⁺ LHA neurons that co-stained for c-Fos (c-Fos⁺) (mean \pm SEM, $n = 511$ neurons scored in 4 animals * $p < 0.05$, unpaired t test).

(F) Hcrt neuron activity before, during, and after tube confinement measured by fiber photometry of GCaMP6f fluorescence ($\Delta F/F$) in the LHA of AAV-DJ-EF1 α -DIO-GCaMP6f-infected Hcrt-IRES-Cre mice. Triangles, GCaMP6f fluorescence peaks (calcium spikes) defined as $>80\%$ of the difference between maximum and minimum values of GCaMP6f signal trace.

(G) Quantification of GCaMP6f fluorescence peaks in (F) ($n = 4$ mice; * $p < 0.05$, paired t test).

A similar diminution of confinement-induced (Figure 1E), LHA-disinhibition-induced (Figure 5J), and Hcrt-neuron-induced (Figure 5K) sighing was obtained by blocking NMB signaling, either by chemogenetic silencing of Nmb neurons (Figure 1E) or by injection of NMBR antagonist BIM 23042 directly into the preBötC (Figures 5J and 5K). We conclude that Nmb-expressing neurons in the RTN that project to the preBötC mediate sighing induced by confinement as well as by disinhibition of the LHA or direct optogenetic activation of LHA Hcrt-expressing neurons.

The Hypocretin-Nmb Circuit Functions Specifically in Confinement Sighing

Although HCRT and NMB pathway inhibition had very similar effects on confinement-induced (“emotional”) sighing, as well as sighing induced by LHA Hcrt neuron activation, inhibition of the pathways had different effects on physiological sighing. NMB neuron silencing inhibited both basal (Figure S2A; Li et al., 2016) and confinement-induced sighing (Figure 1G), whereas HCRT antagonism inhibited confinement-induced sighing but had no effect on basal sighing (Figures 5E and 5F). Similarly,

ablation of preBötC neurons expressing bombesin-like neuropeptide receptors diminished hypoxia-induced sighing (10% O₂ balanced by N₂) as we previously showed (Li et al., 2016), whereas the HCRT antagonist had no effect on hypoxia-induced sighing (Figure 6A). Thus, the upstream HCRT pathway specifically mediates confinement sighing, whereas the downstream NMB pathway mediates all known forms of sighing, including basal and hypoxia-induced sighing as well as confinement sighing.

There was one other subtle but important way in which the results with HCRT and NMB inhibition differed: on the effect of confinement and LHA activation on respiratory rate. Systemic antagonism of HCRT signaling blocked the tachypnea induced by confinement (Figure 6B) or by LHA disinhibition (Figure 6C), whereas silencing of Nmb-expressing neurons or antagonism of NMBR signaling did not diminish these effects (Figures S2E and S7A). This implies that the tachypnea induced by confinement and by LHA and Hcrt neuron activation is mediated by some other set of downstream neurons besides the Nmb-expressing neurons. These other downstream targets reside




Figure 5. The HCRT Neuropeptide Pathway Controls Confinement-Induced Sighing through Nmb Neurons

(A) LHA brain slice of adult mouse injected in RTN with fluorescent retrograde tracer (retrobeads, red) and then immunostained for HCRT1 (green) 5 days later. Arrowheads, HCRT1+ neurons co-stained with retrobeads, indicating projection to RTN; the retrobead (red) signal for some co-stained neurons (open arrowheads) is obscured by the strong HCRT signal (green) in image shown but readily detected when red and green channels are split (not shown). Inset, close-up of boxed region. Scale bars, 100 μ m (50 μ m, inset).

(B) RTN region of adult Nmb-GFP BAC transgenic mouse immunostained for GFP (green) and HCRT1 (white pseudocolor). Open arrowhead, HCRT1 puncta in axon directly abutting Nmb-GFP positive neuron (green); inset shows close up; filled arrowhead, HCRT1 puncta close though not abutting Nmb-GFP positive neuron (green). Scale bar, 10 μ m (2 μ m, inset).

(C) Multiplex single-molecule *in situ* hybridization (RNAscope) of RTN region probed for *Hcrtr1* (green), *Hcrtr2* (red), and *Egfp* (white pseudocolor) transcripts. Note isolated Nmb-GFP+ neuron co-expressing both receptors (boxed, enlarged in right panels that show split channels). Scale bar, 10 μ m (5 μ m, inset).

(D) Diagram (top) and schematic (bottom) of (A)–(C) showing HCRT+ processes (blue) from LHA projecting to Nmb-expressing neurons in RTN (green) that co-express Hcrt receptors *Hcrtr1* and *Hcrtr2* and project to Nmb-expressing neurons in preBötC.

(legend continued on next page)




Figure 6. Specificity of HCRT-NMB Circuit for Confinement-Induced Sighing

(A) Sigh rate of wild-type adult mice under tube confinement or hypoxia (10% O₂) that were gavaged fed with HCRTR antagonist ALM (+) or vehicle (-) for 2 h before plethysmography. Note systemic HCRTR antagonism diminished confinement-induced but not hypoxia-induced sighing. Mean ± SEM; sample size n = 3; *p < 0.05 (unpaired t test).

(B) Respiratory rate before (-) and during (+) confinement of mice systemically treated with ALM (+, n = 7) or vehicle (-, n = 8) (Figures 5E–5G). Note HCRTR antagonism reduced respiratory rate under basal and confinement conditions (mean ± SEM; *p < 0.05, unpaired t test).

(C) Respiratory rate after bicuculline injection to activate LHA of anesthetized mice systemically treated 2 h earlier with ALM (+, n = 7) or vehicle (-, n = 6) (Figure 5H). Note HCRTR antagonism reduced tachypnea induced by pharmacologic LHA activation (mean ± SEM; *p < 0.05, unpaired t test).

(D) Respiratory rate during optogenetic activation of Hcrt-IRES-Cre neurons (Hcrt*) of anesthetized mice injected with ALM (+, n = 7) or vehicle (-, n = 5) in RTN (Figure 5I). Note local HCRTR antagonism in RTN did not affect tachypnea induced by Hcrt neuron activation in LHA (mean ± SEM; n.s., not significant, unpaired t test).

outside the RTN because, although systemic antagonism of HCRT signaling inhibited the induction of both sighing and tachypnea (Figures 5E–5H, 6B, and 6C), local antagonism of HCRT signaling in the RTN affected only sighing (Figures 5I and 6D).

DISCUSSION

These results identify a neuropeptide brain circuit that controls a specific behavioral output of an animal “emotion”—the claustrophobic stress induced by confinement in cramped quarters. Placement of mice in a tight-fitting tube activates hypocretin-expressing neurons in the LHA, and their optogenetic activation is sufficient to induce sighing and tachypnea, mimicking the natural claustrophobic response. These Hcrt neurons project to and directly control the Nmb-expressing neurons in the RTN, which express hypocretin receptors *Hcrtr1* and *Hcrtr2*. Furthermore, the NMB pathway connecting RTN to preBötC, the output circuit that mediates basal and (with the related GRP pathway) physiological (hypoxia-induced) sighing (Li et al., 2016), also me-

diates claustrophobic sighing, as antagonizing either hypocretin signaling in the RTN or Nmb signaling in the preBötC was sufficient to block the induced sighing. We propose that this HCRT-NMB neuropeptide relay circuit from LHA to preBötC, and on to respiratory pre-motor and motor neurons, is the central brain circuit controlling emotional sighing triggered by claustrophobic stress (Figure 7).

Our discovery of the central control circuit for a specific behavioral output of an emotional state has important implications for the organization and logic of emotional circuits. Each emotion is thought to be associated with a specific brain state, triggered by certain external and/or internal stimuli, and manifested by specific patterns of behavioral and physiological responses (Anderson and Adolphs, 2014). How each emotional state is represented in the brain is not known, nor is how each state is triggered by specific external and internal stimuli or its role in the behavioral and physiological manifestations of the state and the associated subjective feelings (Kragel and LaBar, 2016). By tracing upstream of a small set of neurons that controls sighing, we identified Hcrt neurons in the LHA among the direct

(E) Sigh rate before, during, and after tube confinement of awake, behaving wild-type mice (bin 4 min, slide 1 min) gavaged fed with 100 mg/kg HCRTR antagonist almorexant (ALM, red; n = 7) or vehicle (blue; n = 8) 2 h before plethysmography. Grey, confinement period.

(F and G) Quantification of (E) showing sigh rate (F) and sigh-to-eupneic-breath ratio (G) of ALM-treated (+, n = 7) and vehicle-control (-, n = 8) animals before (-) and during (+) confinement. Data are mean ± SEM; *p < 0.05 (unpaired t test).

(H) Sigh rate before (-) and after (+) stereotactic bicuculline (Bic) injection to de-repress (activate) LHA of anesthetized mice treated systemically with ALM (+) or vehicle control (-) 2 h before plethysmography. Experimental scheme is diagrammed above graph. Note reduction in LHA-induced sighing by systemic (syst.) HCRTR antagonism. n = 6 per condition.

(I) Sigh rate during optogenetic LHA Hcrt-IRES-Cre neuron activation (Hcrt*; Figure 4C) of anesthetized mice injected in RTN with ALM (+, n = 7) or vehicle control (-, n = 5). Note reduction in Hcrt-neuron-induced sighing by HCRTR antagonism in RTN.

(J) Sigh rate before (-) and after (+) bicuculline injection to de-repress LHA of anesthetized mice with NMBR antagonist BIM23042 (+, n = 7) or vehicle (-, n = 6) injected in preBötC. Note reduction in LHA-induced sighing by NMBR antagonism in preBötC.

(K) Sigh rate during optogenetic LHA Hcrt-IRES-Cre neuron activation of anesthetized mice with BIM23042 (+) or vehicle (-) injected into preBötC. Note reduction in HCRTR neuron-induced sighing by NMBR antagonism in preBötC. n = 6 per condition. For (H)–(K), mean ± SEM; *p < 0.05 (unpaired t test).




Figure 7. Model of the Circuit Organization of Claustrophobia and Its Relation to Other Emotional Circuits

Tube confinement activates Hcrt neurons in LHA, which directly (via HCRT) activate Hcrt+ Nmb+ double-positive neurons in RTN, which in turn project to and directly activate (via NMB) Nmb+ neurons in the preBötC, comprising a neuropeptide “relay circuit” that triggers sighing. The LHA Hcrt neurons represent a key node in the central emotional state of claustrophobic stress because they also activate other behavioral (struggling movements), physiological (tachypnea), and endocrine (hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis [HPA axis]) outputs and perhaps subjective feelings through other targets (dashed lines). Other central emotional states (e.g., relief and sadness) are associated with the activation of other brain regions, some of which (e.g., bed nuclei of stria terminalis [BST] and central amygdalar nucleus [CEA]) also project to the Nmb+ RTN neurons (solid lines) and induce sighing. Certain physiological states (e.g., hypoxia) also provide input to the preBötC neurons (not shown). Resp ctr, respiratory center (not RTN).

presynaptic inputs. The Hcrt neurons comprise a key node in the confinement stress circuit because they are activated by confinement (Winsky-Sommerer et al., 2004; Figures 4D and 4E) and their activation is sufficient to induce the characteristic suite of responses, including sighing, other behavioral responses (e.g., movement; Adamantidis et al., 2007), and physiological (tachypnea) and endocrine (Bonnaivon et al., 2015) changes (Figure 7), whereas their inhibition diminishes such responses.

Activated LHA Hcrt neurons thus appear to represent a, or the, critical node in the brain state of claustrophobic stress, functioning as master regulators of this state by impinging on different sets of downstream neurons, each controlling a discrete manifestation of the state. One set of neurons directly downstream is the Nmb-expressing neurons in the RTN that mediate sighing. Another must be breathing center neurons outside the RTN that control respiratory rate, because the tachypnea induced by claustrophobic stress and activation of LHA Hcrt-expressing neurons were blocked by systemic injection of a HCRT antagonist (Figure 6C) but not by local injection in the RTN (Figure 6D). The Hcrt-expressing neurons also target other parts of the brain that presumably control other manifestations of claustrophobic stress, such as struggling movements (Figure S1E), the endocrine response (Bonnaivon et al., 2015), and perhaps even higher brain regions that underlie subjective feelings associated with the state (Peyron et al., 1998). Hcrt neurons are involved in arousal, appetite, sleep, and stress (Giardino and de Lecea, 2014; Sakurai, 2014), so further investigation of their molecular and functional heterogeneity will be necessary to fully elucidate this multi-functional population.

These divergent neural outputs from a central node in the circuit explain how an emotion encompasses a wide range of seemingly unrelated but coordinately controlled behavioral, physiological, and endocrine outputs. These ideas can now be tested by systematic mapping of the claustrophobic circuit, including all direct outputs of these key nodal neurons, as well as their direct inputs, to explore how this emotional state is triggered by sensory stimuli of tube confinement.

Many physiological responses and behaviors are shared by different emotional states. For instance, sighing is associated with dozens of different human emotions and conditions, including positive valence states such as pleasure and relief, but also negative valence states such as disgust, disappointment, and anxiety (Ramirez, 2014; Li and Yackle, 2017). Rodents, too, sigh under different emotional conditions: they express a “sigh of relief” (Soltysik and Jelen, 2005) as well as the stress-induced sighs described here. Our circuit tracing upstream of the Nmb-expressing neurons revealed 11 other forebrain regions with neurons that directly converge on the core sigh control neurons in the RTN. Although these regions are not likely sufficient to induce sighing during confinement because the induced sighing is eliminated when the HCRT input is blocked, each of these sets of upstream neurons (or their combinations) may function as a central node in a control circuit underlying another emotional state that has sighing among its outputs, such as the “relief” center that triggers the sigh of relief (Figure 7). Such convergent organization of emotional circuits would explain how disparate emotional states can share some of the same manifestations.

The nodal organization of the claustrophobia circuit, coupled with the convergence of 11 other higher brain circuits on the same sigh control neurons in the claustrophobia circuit, suggests an appealing model for the evolution and diversification of emotional circuits. We expect that key nodes and the overall organization of emotional sigh circuits are conserved (Li and Yackle, 2017), which is in line with Darwin’s early observations (Darwin, 1874). This would explain why rodents and humans show similar respiratory responses when experiencing anxiety (Blechert et al., 2007; Carnevali et al., 2013) or relief (Soltysik and Jelen, 2005; Vlemincx et al., 2010). But, we also expect that inputs and outputs can evolve by rewiring of a circuit, for example by species-specific loss or gain of downstream connections from node to output centers (LeDoux, 2012). In this way, we imagine that nodes in a dozen different emotion circuits converged during evolution on the

same Nmb-expressing neurons in the RTN, perhaps after these neurons were established for the control of basal and physiological sighing for peak respiratory performance. Interestingly, hyperactive HCRT signaling, tachypnea, and excessive sighing have been associated with stress, anxiety, and panic disorders in human patients and other animal models (Johnson et al., 2010; Lum, 1975; Teigen, 2008; Tobin et al., 1983; Wilhelm et al., 2001), suggesting that at least aspects of the neuropeptide relay circuit described here have been conserved and could underlie pathological conditions.

STAR★METHODS

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

- KEY RESOURCES TABLE
- RESOURCE AVAILABILITY
 - Lead Contact
 - Materials Availability
 - Data and Code Availability
- EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS
 - Animals
- METHOD DETAILS
 - Histology, immunostaining and *in situ* hybridization
 - Breathing monitoring and analysis
 - Tube confinement assay
 - Stereotactic injection
 - Circuit tracing
 - Optogenetics
 - Chemogenetics
 - Fiber photometry
 - Pharmacology
- QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2020.107779>.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank C. Guo (HHMI Janelia Farm) for assistance in generating the Nmb-CreER mouse and M. He and B. Zhang (Advanced Cell Diagnostics) for assistance in RNAscope experiments. This work was supported by the Howard Hughes Medical Institute (M.A.K. and L.L.) and the University of Michigan startup funds, Walter V. and Idun Berry postdoctoral fellowship, and Parker B. Francis fellowship (P.L.). M.A.K. and L.L. are investigators of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

P.L. performed the immunostaining; rabies virus synaptic tracing; breathing monitoring; optogenetic, chemogenetic, and pharmacologic experiments; and data analysis. L.d.L. provided the Hcrt-IRES-Cre mice and guidance on optogenetic experiments, and S.-B.L. performed and analyzed the fiber photometry experiment. P.L. and C.D.P. performed and analyzed the confinement experiments. X.W. and P.L. performed and analyzed the RNAscope experiments. L.A.S. and L.L. provided the guidance, equipment, and reagents for rabies virus synaptic tracing. P.L. and M.A.K. conceived and designed exper-

iments, interpreted data, and wrote the manuscript. All authors edited the manuscript.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: August 4, 2018

Revised: April 4, 2020

Accepted: May 27, 2020

Published: June 16, 2020

REFERENCES

- Adamantidis, A.R., Zhang, F., Aravanis, A.M., Deisseroth, K., and de Lecea, L. (2007). Neural substrates of awakening probed with optogenetic control of hypocretin neurons. *Nature* 450, 420–424.
- Anderson, D.J., and Adolphs, R. (2014). A framework for studying emotions across species. *Cell* 157, 187–200.
- Bendixen, H.H., Smith, G.M., and Mead, J. (1964). Pattern of ventilation in young adults. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 19, 195–198.
- Blechert, J., Michael, T., Grossman, P., Lajtman, M., and Wilhelm, F.H. (2007). Autonomic and respiratory characteristics of posttraumatic stress disorder and panic disorder. *Psychosom. Med.* 69, 935–943.
- Bonnaïon, P., Jackson, A.C., Carter, M.E., and de Lecea, L. (2015). Antagonistic interplay between hypocretin and leptin in the lateral hypothalamus regulates stress responses. *Nat. Commun.* 6, 6266.
- Bonnaïon, P., Mickelsen, L.E., Fujita, A., de Lecea, L., and Jackson, A.C. (2016). Hubs and spokes of the lateral hypothalamus: cell types, circuits and behaviour. *J. Physiol.* 594, 6443–6462.
- Boyden, E.S., Zhang, F., Bamberg, E., Nagel, G., and Deisseroth, K. (2005). Millisecond-timescale, genetically targeted optical control of neural activity. *Nat. Neurosci.* 8, 1263–1268.
- Burdakov, D., Karnani, M.M., and Gonzalez, A. (2013). Lateral hypothalamus as a sensor-regulator in respiratory and metabolic control. *Physiol. Behav* 121, 117–124.
- Cannon, W.B. (1927). The James-Lange theory of emotions: a critical examination and an alternative theory. *N. Am. J. Psychol.* 39, 106–124.
- Carnevali, L., Sgoifo, A., Trombini, M., Landgraf, R., Neumann, I.D., and Nalivaiko, E. (2013). Different patterns of respiration in rat lines selectively bred for high or low anxiety. *PLoS One* 8, e64519.
- Darwin, C. (1874). *The Expression of the Emotions in Man and Animals*.
- de Lecea, L., Kilduff, T.S., Peyron, C., Gao, X., Foye, P.E., Danielson, P.E., Fukuhara, C., Battenberg, E.L., Gautvik, V.T., Bartlett, F.S., et al. (1998). The hypocretins: hypothalamus-specific peptides with neuroexcitatory activity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 95, 322–327.
- Giardino, W.J., and de Lecea, L. (2014). Hypocretin (orexin) neuromodulation of stress and reward pathways. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 29, 103–108.
- Giardino, W.J., Eban-Rothschild, A., Christoffel, D.J., Li, S.-B., Malenka, R.C., and de Lecea, L. (2018). Parallel circuits from the bed nuclei of stria terminalis to the lateral hypothalamus drive opposing emotional states. *Nat. Neurosci.* 21, 1084–1095.
- James, W. (1884). What is an emotion? *Mind* 9, 188–205.
- Johnson, P.L., Truitt, W., Fitz, S.D., Minick, P.E., Dietrich, A., Sanghani, S., Träskman-Bendz, L., Goddard, A.W., Brundin, L., and Shekhar, A. (2010). A key role for orexin in panic anxiety. *Nat. Med.* 16, 111–115.
- Knowlton, G.C., and Larrabee, M.G. (1946). A unitary analysis of pulmonary volume receptors. *Am. J. Physiol.* 147, 100–114.
- Knuuttila, S. (2004). *Emotions in Ancient and Medieval Philosophy* (Clarendon Press).
- Kragel, P.A., and LaBar, K.S. (2016). Decoding the Nature of Emotion in the Brain. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 20, 444–455.

- Krashes, M.J., Koda, S., Ye, C., Rogan, S.C., Adams, A.C., Cusher, D.S., Maratos-Flier, E., Roth, B.L., and Lowell, B.B. (2011). Rapid, reversible activation of AgRP neurons drives feeding behavior in mice. *J. Clin. Invest.* *121*, 1424–1428.
- Lange, C.G. (1885). *The Emotions* (Baltimore, MD: Williams & Wilkins).
- LeDoux, J. (2012). Rethinking the emotional brain. *Neuron* *73*, 653–676.
- Li, P., Janczewski, W.A., Yackle, K., Kam, K., Pagliardini, S., Krasnow, M.A., and Feldman, J.L. (2016). The peptidergic control circuit for sighing. *Nature* *530*, 293–297.
- Li, S.-B., Nevárez, N., Giardino, W.J., and de Lecea, L. (2018). Optical probing of orexin/hypocretin receptor antagonists. *Sleep* *41*.
- Li, P., and Yackle, K. (2017). Sighing. *Curr. Biol.* *27*, R88–R89.
- Lum, L.C. (1975). Hyperventilation: The tip and the iceberg. *J. Psychosom. Res.* *19*, 375–383.
- McCutcheon, F.H. (1953). Atmospheric respiration and the complex cycles in mammalian breathing mechanisms. *J. Cell. Physiol.* *41*, 291–303.
- Panksepp, J. (1998). *Affective Neuroscience* (Oxford University Press).
- Peyron, C., Tighe, D.K., van den Pol, A.N., de Lecea, L., Heller, H.C., Sutcliffe, J.G., and Kilduff, T.S. (1998). Neurons containing hypocretin (orexin) project to multiple neuronal systems. *J. Neurosci.* *18*, 9996–10015.
- Ramirez, J.-M. (2014). The integrative role of the sigh in psychology, physiology, pathology, and neurobiology. *Prog. Brain Res.* *209*, 91–129.
- Reynolds, L.B. (1962). Characteristics of an inspiration-augmenting reflex in anesthetized cats. *J. Appl. Physiol.* *17*, 683–688.
- Sakurai, T., Amemiya, A., Ishii, M., Matsuzaki, I., Chemelli, R.M., Tanaka, H., Williams, S.C., Richardson, J.A., Kozlowski, G.P., Wilson, S., et al. (1998). Orexins and orexin receptors: a family of hypothalamic neuropeptides and G protein-coupled receptors that regulate feeding behavior. *Cell* *92*, 573–585.
- Sakurai, T. (2014). The role of orexin in motivated behaviours. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* *15*, 719–731.
- Schachter, S., and Singer, J.E. (1962). Cognitive, social, and physiological determinants of emotional state. *Psychol. Rev.* *69*, 379–399.
- Schwarz, L.A., Miyamichi, K., Gao, X.J., Beier, K.T., Weissbourd, B., DeLoach, K.E., Ren, J., Ibanes, S., Malenka, R.C., Kremer, E.J., et al. (2015). Viral-genetic tracing of the input-output organization of a central noradrenaline circuit. *Nature* *524*, 88–92.
- Sołtysik, S., and Jelen, P. (2005). In rats, sighs correlate with relief. *Physiol. Behav.* *85*, 598–602.
- Teigen, K.H. (2008). Is a sigh “just a sigh?” Sighs as emotional signals and responses to a difficult task. *Scand. J. Psychol.* *49*, 49–57.
- Tobin, M.J., Chadha, T.S., Jenouri, G., Birch, S.J., Gazeroglu, H.B., and Sackner, M.A. (1983). Breathing patterns. 2. Diseased subjects. *Chest* *84*, 286–294.
- Vlemincx, E., Van Diest, I., Lehrer, P.M., Aubert, A.E., and Van den Bergh, O. (2010). Respiratory variability preceding and following sighs: a resetter hypothesis. *Biol. Psychol.* *84*, 82–87.
- Vong, L., Ye, C., Yang, Z., Choi, B., Chua, S., and Lowell, B.B. (2011). Leptin action on GABAergic neurons prevents obesity and reduces inhibitory tone to POMC neurons. *Neuron* *71*, 142–154.
- Wickersham, I.R., Finke, S., Conzelmann, K.-K., and Callaway, E.M. (2007). Retrograde neuronal tracing with a deletion-mutant rabies virus. *Nat. Methods* *4*, 47–49.
- Wilhelm, F.H., Trabert, W., and Roth, W.T. (2001). Characteristics of sighing in panic disorder. *Biol. Psychiatry* *49*, 606–614.
- Winsky-Sommerer, R., Yamanaka, A., Diano, S., Borok, E., Roberts, A.J., Sakurai, T., Kilduff, T.S., Horvath, T.L., and de Lecea, L. (2004). Interaction between the corticotropin-releasing factor system and hypocretins (orexins): a novel circuit mediating stress response. *J. Neurosci.* *24*, 11439–11448.
- Zimprich, A., Garrett, L., Deussing, J.M., Wotjak, C.T., Fuchs, H., Gailus-Durner, V., de Angelis, M.H., Wurst, W., and Höfler, S.M. (2014). A robust and reliable non-invasive test for stress responsivity in mice. *Front. Behav. Neurosci.* *8*, 125.

STAR★METHODS

KEY RESOURCES TABLE

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Antibodies		
chicken anti-GFP	Abcam	Cat# ab13970, RRID:AB_300798
rabbit anti-cFos	Abcam	Cat# ab7963, RRID:AB_306177
goat anti-HCRT1	Santa Cruz	Cat# sc-8070, RRID:AB_653610
Donkey anti-chicken (Alexa Fluor 488)	Jackson ImmunoResearch Labs	Cat# 703-545-155, RRID:AB_2340375
Donkey anti-rabbit (Cy3)	Jackson ImmunoResearch Labs	Cat# 711-165-152, RRID:AB_2307443
Donkey anti-goat (Alexa Fluor 488)	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# A-11055, RRID:AB_2534102
Donkey anti-goat (Alexa Fluor 647)	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# A-21447, RRID:AB_2535864
Bacterial and Virus Strains		
AAV-CAG-FLEX ^{loxP} -rabies glycoprotein	Schwarz et al., 2015	N/A
AAV-CAG-FLEX ^{loxP} -TVA:mCherry	Schwarz et al., 2015	N/A
EnvA-pseudotyped rabies-GFP virus (RVdG)	Schwarz et al., 2015	N/A
AAV-hSyn1-ChR2	Stanford Vector Core	N/A
AAV-DIO-ChR2	Stanford Vector Core	N/A
AAV-DIO-hM4Di	University of North Carolina Viral Core	Cat#AV-5-52925
AAVretro-hSyn-EGFP	Addgene	50465-AAVrg
AAV-EF1 α -DIO-GCaMP6f	Stanford Vector Core	N/A
Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins		
Clozapine <i>N</i> -oxide (CNO)	Tocris Bioscience	Cat#4936; CAS: 34233-69-7
Tamoxifen	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#T5648; CAS: 10540-29-1
Almorexant	Selleckchem	Cat#S2160; CAS: 913358-93-7
(-)-Bicuculline methochloride	Tocris Bioscience	Cat#0131; CAS: 53552-05-9
BIM 23042	Tocris Bioscience	Cat#3237; CAS:111857-96-6
Tubocurarine chloride	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#T2379; CAS:6989-98-6
Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains		
Mouse: C57BL/6	Jackson Laboratory	Stock #000664
Mouse: Vglut2-ires-Cre	Jackson Laboratory	Stock #016963
Mouse: Vgat-ires-Cre	Jackson Laboratory	Stock #016962
Mouse: Nmb-GFP	Li et al., 2016	N/A
Mouse: Nmb-CreERT2	This paper	N/A
Mouse: Hcrt-ires-Cre	Giardino et al., 2018	N/A
Software and Algorithms		
ImageJ	National Institutes of Health	https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/
LabChart	AD Instruments	https://www.adinstruments.com/products/labchart
iox2	emka Technologies	https://www.emka.fr/product/iox2-software/
MATLAB	Mathworks	https://www.mathworks.com/products/matlab.html

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead Contact

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Mark A. Krasnow (krasnow@stanford.edu).

Materials Availability

All unique reagents generated for this study are available from the Lead Contact upon request.

Data and Code Availability

The study did not generate any unique datasets or code.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Animals

All procedures were carried out in accordance with animal care standards in National Institutes of Health (NIH) guidelines, and approved by Stanford Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee or the University Committee on Use and Care of Animals at the University of Michigan. Mouse strains were maintained in the C57BL/6 genetic background. Adult mice (6-24 weeks old) of both sexes were used. To generate the bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) transgene Nmb-CreERT2, a BAC recombineering protocol was used (<https://redrecombineering.ncifcrf.gov/protocols/>) to insert a CreERT2 cassette into BAC RP23-82E1 at the ATG start codon of the *Nmb* gene (Figure 1D), as we did previously to construct a Nmb-GFP BAC transgene (Li et al., 2016). In the Nmb-CreERT2 transgenic line, most Nmb-expressing neurons (82%) co-expressed Cre, as determined by RNAscope multiplex single molecule fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (n = 83 scored *Nmb*-expressing neurons). *Vglut2-IRES-Cre*, *Vgat-IRES-Cre* (Vong et al., 2011), *Hcrt-IRES-Cre* (Giardino et al., 2018) and Nmb-GFP (Li et al., 2016) have been previously described.

METHOD DETAILS

Histology, immunostaining and *in situ* hybridization

For histology and immunostaining, mouse brains were harvested and fixed overnight in 4% paraformaldehyde then cryopreserved in 30% sucrose at 4°C overnight. The fixed tissue was embedded in optimum cutting temperature compound (OCT), then sectioned at 10 - 40 microns (Leica cryostat CM3050 S). For immunostaining, sections were rinsed with Phosphate-Buffered Saline (PBS) with Tween 20 (PBST, 0.1% Tween 20), blocked with 3% bovine serum (BSA) in PBST for 1 hr, then incubated with primary antibody overnight at 4°C. Sections were rinsed in PBST and incubated for 1 hour at room temperature with species-specific secondary antibodies. Primary antibodies were: chicken anti-GFP (Abcam 13970; 1:1000 dilution), rabbit anti-cFos (Abcam ab7963, 1:500 dilution), and goat anti-HCRT1 (Santa Cruz sc-8070; 1:200 dilution). Secondary antibodies were: Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated donkey anti-chicken (Jackson Immuno Research; 1:400 dilution), Cy3-conjugated donkey anti-rabbit (Jackson Immuno Research; 1:400 dilution), and Alexa Fluor 488 or 647-conjugated donkey anti-goat (Thermo Fisher Scientific; 1:500 dilution).

For *in situ* mRNA hybridization, brains were harvested and embedded in OCT as above. Tissue blocks were sectioned (10 microns), fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, dehydrated in an ethanol series, and treated with Pretreatment Reagent (Advanced Cell Diagnostics). Double fluorescent *in situ* assay was then performed using proprietary RNAscope technology with their *Hcrt1*, *Hcrt2*, *Egfp*, *Hcrt*, *Slc17a6*, *Slc32a1*, *Cre* and *Nmb* probes (Advanced Cell Diagnostics).

Breathing monitoring and analysis

For awake animals, individual mice were placed in a 450 mL whole body plethysmography chamber (Buxco) at room temperature (22°C) in 21% O₂ (for normoxia) or 10% O₂ (for hypoxic challenge) balanced with N₂. Mice were allowed to acclimate for 15-20 minutes in the chamber before beginning plethysmography data collection, with respiratory parameters determined using EMKA iox2 software. Sighs were identified in plethysmography traces by their characteristic biphasic ramp, augmented flow in the second phase of the inspiratory effort and prolongation of expiratory time following the event, as previously described (Li et al., 2016). Sigh rate was binned across 4 minute windows with 1 minute steps.

For anesthetized mice, after full anesthetization was achieved ~30 minutes after intraperitoneal injection of 20% urethane in PBS at a dosage of 1.6 g/kg, the trachea was cannulated and connected to a respiratory flow head connected to a spirometer (AD Instruments) to monitor airflow during spontaneous breathing. Breathing data was acquired by PowerLab (AD Instruments) and analyzed by LabChart software (AD Instruments). After baseline breathing stabilized (10 - 20 minutes), the response to pharmacological challenges or photoactivation was tested. Anesthetized preparation is used because it eliminated variability in breathing from manipulation-induced struggle of the mouse.

Tube confinement assay

Baseline breathing behavior of each experimental mouse was monitored by plethysmography as described above for 15-20 minutes. Then, the mouse was transferred into a tube and immediately placed back in the plethysmography chamber for an additional 10-20 minutes to monitor breathing behavior. Confinement tubes were 50 mL clear conical tubes (Corning Life Science, 352098; 27 mm diameter) with 15 - 20 holes (5-8 mm diameter) drilled along the bottom and side of the tube for ventilation. For the larger, metal mesh tubes, a 23-gauge steel wire sheet (0.25 inch mesh) was shaped into a 33 mm diameter cylindrical tube. For anesthetized mice, 1.6 g/kg urethane was intraperitoneally injected into the animal ~30 minutes before tube confinement. Animal movement during the assay was recorded by a video camera and manually scored offline. To block muscle movement during the assay,

mice were injected intraperitoneally with tubocurarine chloride (0.13mg/kg; Sigma, T2379) or vehicle (saline) 5 minutes before placement in the tube. For c-Fos experiments, mice were returned to their home cage for 15 and 90 minutes after removal from the tube to allow c-Fos to accumulate before the mouse was euthanized and analyzed by c-Fos *in situ* hybridization and immunostaining. Control mice were similarly handled but without tube confinement.

Stereotactic injection

Adult mice were anesthetized with isoflurane (4%–5% for induction, 1.5% for maintenance) for retrobead and adeno-associated virus injections, or by intraperitoneal injection of ketamine (65 mg/kg) and xylazine (13 mg/kg) for rabies virus injections, or with urethane (1.6 g/kg) for pharmacological injections. Anesthetized mice were placed in a stereotactic instrument (David Kopf Instruments, Model 940), with body temperature maintained at 36°C using a feedback-controlled heating pad (Physitemp, TCAT-2LV). The following coordinates were used for injection: LHA, –1.40 mm posterior to bregma, –5.25 mm ventral from surface, ± 0.95 mm from midline; RTN, –6.0 mm, –5.25 mm ventral from surface, ± 1.3 mm from midline; preBötC, –6.85 mm, –4.65 mm ventral from surface, ± 1.25 mm from midline. For retrobead and viral injections, animals were recovered from the stereotactic injection and subcutaneous injections of carprofen were administered (5 mg/kg) for pain alleviation.

Circuit tracing

For monosynaptic retrograde tracing using rabies-GFP (Schwarz et al., 2015), adult Nmb-CreER mice were anesthetized with isoflurane, then 500 nL of a 1:1 mixture of AAV8 CAG-FLEX^{loxP}-rabies glycoprotein and AAV5 CAG-FLEX^{loxP}-TVA:mCherry were stereotactically injected into the left RTN. Five days later, animals were injected intraperitoneally with tamoxifen (5mg/kg) three times over 5 days. Two weeks after the first tamoxifen injection, animals were anesthetized with ketamine (65 mg/kg) and xylazine (13 mg/kg). 300-500 nL of EnvA-pseudotyped rabies-GFP virus (RVdG) was injected into the same position using the procedure described above. After recovery, mice were housed in a Biosafety Level 2 facility for 4-5 days before harvesting and analysis as above. GFP positive cells were counted in every other section counterstained with DAPI.

For circuit tracing with AAVretro or retrobeads, adult mice were anesthetized with isoflurane and stereotactically injected with retrograde AAVrg-hSyn1-EGFP (Addgene) or retrobeads (Lumafuor) into the RTN. For AAVretro, mice were euthanized 3-4 weeks later and brain tissue was processed as described above. Serial sections were collected and processed for RNAscope for detecting *Egfp*, *Hcrt*, *Slc17a6*, and *Slc32a1*. For retrobeads, mice were euthanized five to seven days after injection and brain tissue was collected and processed for immunofluorescence. Retrobeads was detected by autofluorescence, and HCRT was detected by goat anti-HCRT1 antibody.

For tracing the processes of LHA neurons, 6-8 weeks old mice were anesthetized with isoflurane and stereotactically injected into LHA region with AAV-hSyn1-eYFP (Stanford Vector Core). Two weeks later, the animal was euthanized and brain tissue was collected and sectioned. Fluorescent signal was imaged on RTN sections.

Optogenetics

For optogenetic manipulation of neuronal activity, channelrhodopsin-2 (ChR2) expressing AAVs: AAV-hSyn1-ChR2 and AAV-DIO-ChR2 viruses (Boyden et al., 2005) (Stanford Vector Core) were bilaterally injected into the LHA. Custom-built fiber connectors (fiber: 0.48 numerical aperture, 200 μm diameter; Thorlabs) were implanted 0.5 mm above the viral injection site. After 3–4 weeks recovery, the cannula was connected to a 473 nm laser for 1, 5, 10 or 20 Hz activation (15ms interval) for 4 minutes, and breathing was monitored by a flow head connected to the spirometer in urethane-anesthetized mice.

Chemogenetics

For chemogenetic manipulation of neuronal activity, AAV-DIO-hM4Di (Krashes et al., 2011) (University of North Carolina Viral Core) was stereotactically injected into RTN region of a Nmb-Cre mouse. After about 1 week recovery, 3 doses of tamoxifen (5mg/kg) were injected intraperitoneally to induce recombination over a period of 1 week. Two weeks after the first tamoxifen injection, CNO (1mg/kg) was injected intraperitoneally to activate hM4Di and 15 minutes later breathing data was collected by whole body plethysmography.

Fiber photometry

Fiber photometry was performed as described (Li et al., 2018). Briefly, 0.3 μL AAV vectors carrying genes encoding GCaMP6f (AAV-DJ-EF1α-DIO-GCaMP6f, Stanford Virus Core; AAV-DJ-EF1α-DIO-GFP was used as control) were injected to the LHA (AP: –1.35 mm, ML: +/- 0.95 mm, DV: –5.15 mm) of *Hcrt*-IRES-Cre mouse with a 5 μL Hamilton micro-syringe on a stereotaxic frame (Kopf Instruments). A glass fiber (400 μm in diameter, Doric Lenses, Franquet, Québec, Canada) for optical signal acquisition was then implanted with the tip at the injection site, and the implant was secured with dental cement and the mouse allowed to recover for at least two weeks. On the recording day, mice were connected to a flexible patch cord and habituated for two hours prior to GCaMP6f signal acquisition. GCaMP6f signal recording was done during the dark phase. Signal was initially recorded for 10 minutes as baseline in the home cage. The mouse was then put in a well-ventilated 50 mL clear conical tube (confinement paradigm) and placed in the home cage, then recorded for an additional 10 minutes, released from the tube, and recorded for 10 additional minutes in the home cage. GCaMP6f signals were analyzed offline in MATLAB using custom scripts. Peak counts of the GCaMP6f signal were

determined by MATLAB Prominence built-in features (<https://www.mathworks.com/help/signal/ug/prominence.html>), with peaks $>$ mean value $+ 2 \times$ SD (standard deviation) based on all the detected peaks of GCaMP6f signal trace during each paradigm scored and quantified (see [Figures 4F](#) and [4G](#)). Peak frequencies prior to, during and after tube confinement were compared (paired t test). GCaMP6f signal during animal transfer (at the 10–12 min and 20–22 min time windows) were excluded from analysis. In control mice expressing GFP instead of GCaMP6f, there was no activity-dependent fluorescent signal.

Pharmacology

For systemic antagonism of hypocretin signaling in awake animals, mice were gavage fed 100 mg/kg hypocretin receptor antagonist almorexant (ALM, Selleckchem) dissolved in 0.25% methylcellulose in PBS. After 2 hours, breathing behavior and response to tube confinement were monitored and recorded by plethysmography.

For anesthetized conditions, urethane was administered by intraperitoneal injection and breathing monitored through the tracheostomy tube as described above. For LHA disinhibition, 200 nL of GABA receptor antagonist bicuculline (Tocris, 1mM) was unilaterally injected into LHA of wild-type adult mice. To antagonize hypocretin signaling, animals were gavage fed ALM 2 hours before the bicuculline injection as described above.

For local pharmacological experiments combined with optogenetics, 2–4 weeks before the pharmacological injection AAV-DIO-ChR2 virus was injected into the LHA of Hcrt-IRES-Cre mice and a cannula implanted. To locally antagonize hypocretin signaling, 200 nL of ALM (20mM) was stereotactically injected into the RTN. Baseline breathing behavior was recorded for 10 minutes before the response to photoactivation of hypocretin neurons was analyzed. To locally inhibit NMBR, 500 nL of NMBR antagonist BIM23042 (Tocris, 10uM) was stereotactically injected into the preBötC immediately before the response to photoactivation of hypocretin neurons was analyzed.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Data is presented as mean \pm standard error of the mean (SEM). Sample size (n) is specified in the figure legends. Student's t test was used to analyze most of the results. For multiple comparisons, ANOVA was applied followed by post hoc t test. All the statistical details of experiments can be found in the result section. Differences in means were considered statistically significant at $p < 0.05$.